

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/726,372	12/0	01/2000	Hans-Rudolf Nageli	ATM-2273 5299	
Virgil H. Mars	7590 :h	03/12/2007		EXAMINER	
Fisher, Christen & Sabol				TSOY, ELENA	
Suite 1106 1725 K Street,	N.W.			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D	C 20006	,		1762	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				03/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_/ _ /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(
Advisory Action	09/726,372	NAGELI ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elena Tsoy	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compart following time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of this Advanced by the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advanced by the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advanced by the period for reply expires on: (2) the mailing date of this Advanced by the period for reply expires on: (3) 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reputate of the final rejection.	affidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 ly must be filed within the final rejection, whichev	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F		D WITHIN TWO
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must have the companient of the Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS	Last the Assistant Assistant Charles and College	£ 20 4 b	L
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further control (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); otter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		gootou oranno.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	•	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 28-53,56 and 57. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Application/Control Number: 09/726,372

Art Unit: 1762

Advisory Action

The amendment filed on February 13, 2007 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been entered and considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance for the reasons of record set forth in the Final Office Action mailed on 10/13/2006.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed February 13, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

(A) Applicants argue that the Examiner has only made conclusionary statements which are insufficient to support an objected to the specification under 35 U.S.C. 132 as introducing <u>new matter</u> into the disclosure. The Examiner has not carried her burden of proof. As quoted above, Section 70.03(o) of the M.P.E.P. requires that the Examiner's explanation address the unresolved questions that raise a doubt. The Examiner has not provided such required explanation so it is assumed that there is no basis for the Examiner's rejection and conclusion.

The argument is unconvincing because the objection was affirmed by BPAI Decision of 7/19/2006.

(B) Applicants argue that the Office Action stated that 'newly' submitted limitation "an extremely small size" renders Claims 28 and 57 indefinite for the same reasons as set forth in the Decision On Appeal, dated July 19, 2006: Upon review of Appellants' disclosure (which includes Figures 1 and 2), it is not possible to find a clear depiction of the crystal grains in the outer surface area. There is no indication of an acceptable size for the crystal grains in the outer surface area. As such, it is not possible to determine the size of the grains in the outer surface and whether these grains are "as small as possible" or "an extremely small size," as presently

claimed. Thus, the claims, as presently written, do not circumscribe the boundaries of the claims with a reasonable degree of particularity with respect to the particular grain size that is embraced by "as small as possible" or "an extremely small size". This is a misquotation of the Board's Decision On Appeal. Nowhere does it contain the phrase "an extremely small size".

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. The Examiner stated the same reasons as set forth in the Decision On Appeal, <u>not quotation</u> of the Board's Decision On Appeal.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elena Tsoy whose telephone number is 571-272-1429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/726,372

Art Unit: 1762

Elena Tsoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

March 8, 2007

Page 4